



Attorney's Docket No. 5051-408CX2DV

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re: Carbonell et al.
Serial No.: 09/619,993
Filed: July 20, 2000
For: COMPOSITIONS FOR PROTECTING CIVIL INFRASTRUCTURE

Group Art Unit: 1714
Examiner: C. Toomer

#8
01/17/02
AS

June 10, 2002

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE


Sir:

This Supplemental Response is responsive to the Office communication mailed May 10, 2002. The Office communication states, "The reply filed on February 8, 2002 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): there is no response to the 103 rejection of claim 19 over Gribbin in view of Piacenti." As Claim 19 depends from Claim 15 and Applicants argued the patentability of independent Claim 15, Applicants believed that the rejection of Claim 19 had been addressed.

As a supplemental response to the Office Action mailed October 11, 2001, Applicants respectfully submit that, as Claim 19 depends from Claim 15, Claim 19 is patentable for at least the reasons given in Applicants' earlier response for the patentability of Claim 15.

Applicants respectfully submit that this Supplemental Response and Applicants' earlier Amendment filed January 8, 2002 are fully responsive to the Office Action mailed October 11, 2001. Any questions that the Examiner may have can be directed to the undersigned, who may be reached at (919) 854-1400.

Respectfully submitted,


J. Michael Strickland
Registration No. 47,115




20792

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231, on June 10, 2002.


Monica L. Croom